

VU Research Portal

Digital Urban Network Connectivity: Global and Chinese Internet Patterns

Tranos, E.; Kourtit, K.; Nijkamp, P.

2012

document version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication in VU Research Portal](#)

citation for published version (APA)

Tranos, E., Kourtit, K., & Nijkamp, P. (2012). *Digital Urban Network Connectivity: Global and Chinese Internet Patterns*. (TT Discussion Papers; No. 12-124/VIII). Tinbergen Institute.
<http://www.tinbergen.nl/discussionpapers/12124.pdf>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

E-mail address:

vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl

TI 2012-124/VIII
Tinbergen Institute Discussion Paper



Digital Urban Network Connectivity: Global and Chinese Internet Patterns

Emmanouil Tranos^{1,2}

Karima Kourtiti¹

Peter Nijkamp^{1,2}

¹ Faculty of Economics and Business Administration, VU University Amsterdam;

² Tinbergen Institute.

Tinbergen Institute is the graduate school and research institute in economics of Erasmus University Rotterdam, the University of Amsterdam and VU University Amsterdam.

More TI discussion papers can be downloaded at <http://www.tinbergen.nl>

Tinbergen Institute has two locations:

Tinbergen Institute Amsterdam
Gustav Mahlerplein 117
1082 MS Amsterdam
The Netherlands
Tel.: +31(0)20 525 1600

Tinbergen Institute Rotterdam
Burg. Oudlaan 50
3062 PA Rotterdam
The Netherlands
Tel.: +31(0)10 408 8900
Fax: +31(0)10 408 9031

Duisenberg school of finance is a collaboration of the Dutch financial sector and universities, with the ambition to support innovative research and offer top quality academic education in core areas of finance.

DSF research papers can be downloaded at: <http://www.dsf.nl/>

Duisenberg school of finance
Gustav Mahlerplein 117
1082 MS Amsterdam
The Netherlands
Tel.: +31(0)20 525 8579

Digital Urban Network Connectivity: Global and Chinese Internet Patterns

Emmanouil Tranos*¹

Karima Kourtiti*

Peter Nijkamp*¹

*Dept. of Spatial Economics

VU University Amsterdam

¹Tinbergen Institute

e.tranos@vu.nl

Abstract

The majority of cities in our world is not only connected through conventional physical infrastructure, but increasingly through modern digital infrastructure. This paper aims to test whether digital connectivity leads to other linkage patterns among world cities than traditional infrastructure. Using a generalized spatial interaction model, this paper shows that geography (and distance) still matters for an extensive set of world cities analysed in the present study. With a view to the rapidly rising urbanization in many regions of our world, the attention is next focused on the emerging large cities in China in order to test the relevance of distance frictions – next to a broad set of other important explanatory variables – for digital connectivity in this country. Various interesting results are found regarding digital connectivity within the Chinese urban system, while also here geography appears to play an important role.

Keywords: Digital Networks, Internet, Connectivity, World Cities, Death of Distance, Centrality, Small-World Networks, Clustering, Gravity Model

Pn581etkk

1. Prelude

Cities have over the past centuries become the cradle of political power and economic progress. And their position has become increasingly stronger in the course of history. A highlight in the history of urban systems in our world was formed by the year 2007. This year meant an important milestone in the long record of urbanization: for the first time in human history, the city took over the 'power' from its hinterland, since as of that year more than 50 percent of the world population was registered to live in urban areas. The 21st century is by some people nowadays even called '*the urban century*' (UN 2010). Surprisingly – and in contrast to this recent development – only a few centuries ago less than 20 percent of the population on our earth lived in cities. This structural urban development is still continuing, with urbanization rates exceeding 70 percent in various European countries and elsewhere (see for details e.g., Mega 2010). Although there are nowadays also signs of shrinking cities, these are rather an exception. Mulligan and Crampton (2005) find that city size evolution is tied to overall national population size, although the growth rate of any city depends on a complex myriad of private and public forces (e.g., amenities, social capital, industrial diversity, connectivity).

It is also noteworthy that cities are becoming nodal points in complex, multi-layer and often global networks. Traditionally, such nodal points were production and consumption centres that were connected by means of physical infrastructures through which material flows of people and goods could be transported. Infrastructure acted essentially as the backbone of an interconnected economy, with a fractal representation at various geographical scale levels (see, e.g., Batty and Longley 1994).

The views on the position of global, international and connected (or network) cities have significantly changed over the years. Cities increasingly act in a system of connected networks that serve as strategic alliances for the development of our world (see for an extensive urban network analysis Neal 2012). In this perspective, urban agglomerations are not necessarily a source of problems, but offer the strategic economic platform for creative solutions and new opportunities on a world-wide scale. Since the seminal work of Jane Jacobs (1961), we know that social capital (e.g., in the form of bonding and networking) and human capital (e.g., in the form of creative entrepreneurship or self-employment) are essential for smart and booming urban economies (see Nijkamp and Kourtit 2012).

In addition to a strategic re-profiling of urban areas into integrated network cities, we also observe gradually a new transformation of urban agglomerations into (regional, national or even global) spatial-economic network constellations. World-wide, urban areas are becoming centripetal and centrifugal nodes in complex multi-layer networks (Taylor 2001; Taylor 2004; Taylor et al. 2002), in which regional and national borders will play a less prominent role. This new development may turn into an urban network revolution in the history of human settlements. This may lead to the emergence of hierarchical networks or interconnected global networks of urban agglomerations. Such city networks will definitely become a source of creative and strategic research and policy action on the future of metropolitan areas.

It is thus plausible that cities in our age will likely turn into complex connected networks ('network cities in city networks') and will accommodate a rising share of socio-economic activities of a nation as a result of proximity and density externalities. These spatial urban constellations have been studied in the urban science literature from a variety of different analytical perspectives (see Nijkamp 2008 for a

review). Urban agglomerations and networks will become the cornerstones of global interaction and evolution.

In parallel with a rapid rise in urbanization rates, another megatrend has emerged, viz. the transition to a 'digital economy', thanks to the introduction and large-scale penetration of Information and Communication Technologies (ICT) in all sectors of the economy. This phenomenon has induced an intense debate on the spatial consequences of these modern technologies, which has led to various metaphors such as 'tele-cities' or 'electronic cottages'. For a critical review, see, inter alia, Cohen and Nijkamp (2006) and Cohen et al. (2002). In a more challenging way, the above-mentioned debate can be summarized under the heading of the validity of the 'death of distance' hypothesis (see Cairncross 2001). Despite the fuss related with this hypothesis, it has also been increasingly questioned (see Wang et al. 2003). A test of this hypothesis calls for solid empirical research (see also Gorman and Malecki 2002).

The debate on the impacts of ICT on cities has already a respectable history. It follows – from a social-functional perspective – the Castells (1996) thesis on 'space of flows', while it has also strong roots in the regional-economic analysis of ICT impacts (see e.g. Cohen et al. 2002). ICT, just like ordinary infrastructure, provides the necessary spatial framework for the development and existence of urban systems at various levels. A limited number of studies regarding the impact of traditional and digital infrastructure in emerging economies – in particular China – can also be recorded. We refer here to Démurger (2001), who offers an impact assessment of (general) infrastructure and regional growth in China, to Ding and Haynes (2006), who study the leapfrogging implications of ICT in China, and to Ding et al. (2008), who researched the relation between telecommunications infrastructure and income convergence. Advanced infrastructure appears to be critical in all cases. An under-investigated issue however, is the question how cities and urban networks are related to digital spatial connectivity. And this will be the main research challenge in this paper. The central methodological task is to investigate whether in a spatial interaction model, where the spatial interaction refer to the digital infrastructural capacity (e.g. the digital links which form the Internet), the standard gravity model still holds. The main aim of our study is now to test whether the empirical connectivity pattern reflected in Internet infrastructural capacity leads to a statistically significant model based on standard gravitational forces in relation to a spatial interaction model. A second aim of our study is to analyze whether the digital connectivity in urban systems in rapidly emerging economies – in particular in China – can also be appropriately mapped out by a spatial interaction representation of Internet linkages. Also, when necessary, comparisons with other world regions (e.g. Europe) also take place. In summary, the purpose of our article is to explore the symbiotic relation between urban systems and digital infrastructural networks, both world-wide and within China.

China – as an important player in both Asia and world-wide – is an interesting case because of its rapid economic and technological growth. Many Asian countries –including China– have in the past decade exhibited a surprisingly high economic growth. At the same time, many of these countries have shown very high urbanisation rates, to the extent that many large to very large cities can be found in Asia nowadays. The unprecedented rise in megacities in Asia is partly caused by their indigenous growth mechanisms and partly by their high-quality connectivity. A recent study of the UN (2010) demonstrated

that from the world's largest cities (30 in total), 17 such megacities are located in Asia. Urban agglomeration externalities are apparently so powerful in this region, that an unprecedentedly strong urbanisation megatrend is emerging (see also Kusakabe 2012; Morichi and Raj Acharya 2013).

China has not only witnessed a formidable economic growth in the past decades, but also a surprising rise in its urbanization degree, with many new megacities. For that reason, infrastructure policy is of paramount importance in this country. But physical connectivity is not sufficient; digital connectivity in an information society driven by digital infrastructure is equally important. And therefore, a closer analysis of the geographical structure and intensity of the usage of digital technologies in China (in particular, the Internet), is a challenging research task. In our empirical study we will address in particular the digital connectivity among major urban agglomerations in China, including not only the big megacities such as Shanghai or Beijing, but also many lower ranked – but often still multi-million – cities.

Methodologically, apart from econometrics to understand the impact of gravitational forces in the evolution of the digital infrastructure, concepts and techniques from the network analysis field are also utilized. The latter has become a very advanced research area, starting from Euler's well-known Königsburg bridge system to small-world or scale-free networks. In the recent geography and regional science literature much attention has been paid to spatial linkage analysis and spatial interaction models, in which also the interwovenness of cities has been studied extensively (including hierarchical organization of cities, e.g. in the context of central place theory or Zipf's law). With the introduction of digital technology, new types of connected networks have emerged, often of a hub-and-spokes nature, with various degrees of user intensity on different edges. Common characteristic of some these networks is their spatial reflection. The methodological novelty of this paper is the utilization of network analysis with econometrics to understand whether the gradually emerging global network connectivity pattern is also replicated at the level of an upcoming economic region such as Asia, and in particular whether such a pattern is showing up in a rapidly emerging country like China.

The present paper is organized as follows. After this introductory section, Section 2 will concisely describe the database for the models to be used, while Section 3 will be devoted to a modelling experiment on digital connectivity among world cities. China is a rising player in a global internet connectivity system, and therefore, in Section 4, our study will zoom in on the Chinese urban network, in comparison to Europe and the USA. An explanatory causal econometric model for digital connectivity in China – and its results – will be presented in Section 5, while Section 6 offers concluding remarks.

2. Data for digital connectivity

The main database used for this paper has been derived from the DIMES project. This is “a distributed scientific research project, aimed to study the structure and topology of the Internet” (DIMES 2010). It is based on 3–6 million traceroute¹ measurements made daily by a global network of more than 10,000 agents, who are voluntarily participating in this research project (see Carmi et al.

¹ Traceroutes are specific programs, which map the route that a data packet follows through different nodes in order to reach its final destination (Dodge and Zook 2009).

2007; for a description of the DIMES project, see also Shavitt and Shir 2005). One of the outcomes of the DIMES project is an extensive database with geo-located IP (Internet Protocol) links discovered by the DIMES volunteers. It contains all the IP links between any two cities discovered by the agents. Although overlapping connections between any two regions are included in the database, there is no information on the bandwidth of these links. However, this is still an infrastructural measure, as the IP links represent physical (overlapping) data links between cities, which follow the IP protocol².

Some important notes should be made at this outset. Firstly, the DIMES project only includes IP links which have been captured by its agents and thus, only a small fraction of the total Internet. By sending data packets from the agents' locations to known destinations, DIMES researchers record the different IP links used by its agents, completing the largest available data set for geo-coded IP links.

Secondly, there is a common limitation faced by any study focusing on the Internet from a spatial perspective: the Internet has been built as a logical network and its links are defined in topological and not in geographic terms. Therefore, the architecture of Internet destinations (IP addresses) has little to do with geographical locations (Dodge and Zook 2009). To geo-locate the above system, the DIMES project geo-codes the different IP addresses using IP registration tables. A potential accuracy issue needs to be highlighted here. It is not uncommon that IP addresses are owned by expert firms, which lease these IP addresses to content providers (Dodge and Zook 2009). This might result in a possible mismatch between some physical locations of IP addresses and the content location. However, this does not create any bias here, as the focus of this paper is on the physical infrastructure of the Internet.

Different subsets of this global DIMES dataset are used for this paper. For the analysis in Section 3, which focuses on digital connectivity and world cities, the IP links among a sample of 34 world cities are utilized for the year 2010. This analysis is limited to a cross section of these 34 cities for one year because of the scarce data on world city characteristics. More details about this data are provided in the next section. After the global analysis of linkages between cities world-wide, in Sections 4 and 5 the analysis turns to the Chinese urban system, which includes IP links only between Chinese cities. This analysis follows panel specifications and includes the period 2007-2011.

In a nutshell, the DIMES dataset is, at least to the best of our knowledge, the richest available geographical data source for the Internet infrastructure. Despite the above limitations, the scattered locations of the agents and the size of the DIMES experiment secure the robustness of this data set, especially considering the general lack of geographic data on the Internet infrastructure.

3. Digital connectivity and global cities: a high-level analysis

Before focusing on the main object of our analysis, viz. the digital infrastructure of the Chinese urban system, the global nature of our dataset is utilized in order to provide an overall, global context for urban digital connectivity. As discussed in the previous section, ICT and the Internet support the globalization process and global cities are increasingly reliant upon digital infrastructure. Nonetheless,

² These links function at level 3 of the OSI model. As noted elsewhere (Tranos 2013), the first three layers of the OSI model represent physical infrastructural capital, while the four highest layers reflect 'infratechnologies' (Tassey 1992; Tassey 2008).

there are hardly any studies at a global level linking the global city characteristics with the digital infrastructure. The only exception at this scale is the work of Choi et al. (2006) who investigated the network structure of the Internet backbone networks among the most well-connected world cities³. In order to fill in this gap and to provide a broader understanding of the relation between world cities and the underlying first layer of the space of flows, this section employs simple spatial interaction models (SIMs) to investigate the pull factors for attracting such digital infrastructures in global cities. The conceptual model of this analysis is formulated in the following generalized version of a SIM, according to which the number of IP installed links between i and j (IP_{ij}) is affected by the characteristics of i (X_i) and j (X_j) as well as by bilateral characteristics between i and j (X_{ij}).

$$IP_{ij} = f(X_i, X_j, X_{ij}) \quad (1)$$

The main limitation for such an endeavor is data availability, as hardly any homogeneous urban data is available at a global, cross-country level. In order to overcome this difficulty, a unique dataset depicting urban characteristics of 34 world cities is utilized here produced by the Institute for Urban Strategies (2010). This Global Power City Index (GPCI) offers a balanced picture of the socio-economic performance and power of 34 world cities⁴ from the perspective of attracting talent, business and investment to cities, complemented with information on perceptions of various classes of stakeholders. Based on 69 individual indicators compiled from secondary sources as well as from interviews with stakeholders, two sets of indicators have been produced for the year 2010⁵: city *function* indicators, which include a normalized score on variables focusing on urban accessibility, economy and the environment; and city *actors* indicators including variables on how managers, researchers, artists and residents perceive and score the performance of the city.

Apart from the variables derived from the actors and functions data, the impact of variables related with the spatial organization of the world cities sample is also tested here. Firstly, physical distance between cities is expected to have a negative impact on the pair-level IP connectivity. As discussed elsewhere (Tranos and Nijkamp 2013), physical distance (*distance*) maintains its importance even in the frame of the digital infrastructure. Similarly, the spatial continuity (*continuity*) between countries which host the cities included in the analysis, is expected to have a positive impact on digital connectivity. Moreover, we employ variables from the world trade literature (Mayer and Zignago 2005) and we expect variables such as common language (*language*) and past colonial (*colonial*) ties will affect the digital connectivity (Tranos and Gillespie 2011).

In order to utilize these variables, model (1) is expanded in the following log-log form:

$$\ln(IP_{ij}) = a_0 \ln k + a_1 \ln(X_i * X_j) + b_1 \ln(\text{distance}_{ij}) + b_2 \text{relational}_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ij} \quad (2)$$

³ For a US-centric study on similar issues see the work of Malecki (e.g. 2002) and the work of Tranos for a pan-European perspective (e.g. 2011; Tranos and Gillespie 2009)

⁴ Mumbai was also included in the GPCI, but it is excluded from our analysis as no IP data was available from the DIMES project. The rest of the cities included in the GPCI are presented in Table 3.

⁵ For a detailed review of the GPCI index see Institute for Urban Studies (2010).

X_i and X_j are the variables reflecting the city-level attributes discussed above. Because the dependent variable reflects infrastructural capacity and not flows, there is no directionality involved and therefore instead of estimating the effect of i and j separately, their combined impact is estimated using the product of X_i and X_j . Since the city-level attributes are only available for year 2010, equation (2) is estimated cross-sectionally using ordinary least square (OLS). Table 1 presents the results of the actors variables and Table 3 the results of the function variables.

Table 1: World city IP connectivity and actor-based city characteristics

Dep. Var. IP (ln)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
distance(ln)	-0.322 (0.087)***	-0.349 (0.087)***	-0.430 (0.091)***	-0.410 (0.092)***	-0.522 (0.102)***
manager	0.271 (0.117)**	0.020 (0.170)	0.274 (0.194)	0.321 (0.196)	0.266 (0.200)
researcher		0.197 (0.098)**	0.317 (0.107)***	0.237 (0.119)**	0.178 (0.120)
resident			-0.561 (0.216)**	-0.660 (0.225)***	-0.597 (0.228)***
artist				0.190 (0.124)	0.253 (0.135)*
continuity					-1.002 (0.402)**
language					0.276 (0.292)
colonial					-0.202 (0.359)
constant	0.205 (1.808)	1.433 (1.895)	5.763 (2.505)**	5.062 (2.538)**	5.788 (2.566)**
R^2	0.09	0.11	0.14	0.15	0.18
N	194	194	194	194	194

* $p < 0.1$; ** $p < 0.05$; *** $p < 0.01$; Standard Error in parentheses

The first observation is the consistent negative effect of distance on the formation of the digital infrastructure at a global level. Put simply, the closer two world cities are in our sample, the more digital infrastructure is installed between them. This distance decay effect remains significant and its magnitude increases even after the inclusion of other bilateral variables including spatial continuity. The researcher variable has a positive effect which appears to be significant throughout most of the specifications. This is not surprising either, as digital infrastructure was always related with knowledge-intensive urban environments (Malecki 2002). In addition, the managerial effect is also visible here, although not always significant. Indeed, the higher the (product of two cities') score on managerial issues is, the higher the connectivity between these two cities is. However, this effect ceases to be significant when more explanatory variables are introduced into the model. Moreover, a significant and consistent negative effect is detected for the (product of the) score of cities on residential issues. This effect can be interpreted as a city-size effect: the higher the size of a city and consequently the diseconomies of scale (low score on residential issues), the higher the digital connectivity the city shares with other cities. On the contrary, creativity appears to be a significant positive factor for attracting digital infrastructure. The (product of the) score of two cities according to artists is a positive predictor

of the digital connectivity between these two cities. Regarding the other bilateral variables, only spatial continuity seems to have a significant impact. However, this is negative and may reflect a minimum threshold level for distance.

Table 3 presents the estimation of (2) using the function variables. Again, interesting results can be derived regarding the distribution of the digital infrastructure among our sample of world cities. Firstly, spatial configuration appears to be important even at this scale, as apart from the distance decay effect which is present here too, accessibility also has a significant positive effect: the more accessible two cities are (in other words, the higher the product of the accessibility of two connected cities is) the more digital infrastructure will be installed among them. On the contrary, although the variable reflecting the score on the urban economy is positive, its effect is of low significance. This is in accordance with previous research highlighting that such infrastructure is mostly attracted by knowledge-economy related indicators instead of mere market size (Tranos and Gillespie 2009). Next, the environment variable appears to confirm the above comments on the effect of the *resident* variable. The city-size effect, as reflected in diseconomies of scale and related low urban environmental quality, is a digital connectivity factor. Regarding the bilateral variables, the same effect as in Table 1 is observed here.

Table 2: World city IP connectivity and function-based city characteristics

Dep. Var. IP (ln)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
d_ln	-0.329 (0.088)***	-0.275 (0.088)***	-0.291 (0.088)***	-0.335 (0.089)***	-0.481 (0.102)***
accessibility		0.294 (0.098)***	0.216 (0.110)*	0.235 (0.110)**	0.253 (0.116)**
economy			0.142 (0.094)	0.155 (0.093)*	0.109 (0.097)
environment				-0.184 (0.082)**	-0.184 (0.082)**
continuity					-1.093 (0.385)***
language					0.324 (0.280)
colonial					-0.066 (0.350)
constant	4.061 (0.705)***	-0.121 (1.561)	-0.870 (1.633)	2.052 (2.071)	3.633 (2.155)*
R ²	0.07	0.11	0.12	0.14	0.18
N	194	194	194	194	194

* $p < 0.1$; ** $p < 0.05$; *** $p < 0.01$; Standard Error in parentheses

In total, the above analysis has revealed many interesting patterns at the global level of the world city network. Digital infrastructure is clearly affected by spatial configuration – even at this scale. Thus, it can be argued that also a digital system such as the Internet is ruled by strong spatial forces. In addition, other factors related to the knowledge economy and city size appear to play also important roles in the

geography of this system. The next step in our analysis provides the link between the global digital network and the Chinese urban system.

4. The Chinese digital urban network

Moving now from the global level of analysis to China, the first task is to understand the position of Chinese cities in this global system of world cities and then to understand the structure of the Chinese inter-urban network of the digital infrastructure. In order to do so, concepts and methods from complex network analysis (CNA) field and the *science of networks* (Barabási 2002; Buchanan 2002; Watts 2003; Watts 2004) are utilized. This is a new analytical field which focuses on large-scale real-world networks and their universal, structural, and statistical properties (Newman 2003). CNA is the tool which enables us to explore connectivity patterns in the topological configuration of the Chinese digital infrastructure. The latter is an essential step in order to move on to the next part of our analysis, where the structure of the urban network in China is modeled.

Table 3 presents three different centralities for the sample of 34 world cities. Firstly, degree centrality represents the accumulated IP links for each city for each year⁶. This is a digital *infrastructural* capital measure, in which Beijing shows up as the third most connected city in our world city sample for 2010. Shanghai, the other Chinese city in our sample, is placed on the 15th position. Although this is an important measure reflecting the accumulated IP connectivity, degree centrality does not provide any insights into the functionality of these cities in the overall network. Following the work of Neal (2011), two more centrality indicators will now be introduced here: recursive centrality (*RC*) and recursive power (*RP*). The distinctive point of these indicators is the acknowledgement of the degree centrality of the cities which are connected with the city of interest and are calculated as follows:

$$RC_i = \sum_j IP_{ij} \times DC_j \quad (3)$$

$$RC_i = \sum_j \frac{IP_{ij}}{DC_j} \quad (4)$$

DC_j is the degree centrality of the city j , which shares an IP link with city i , and IP_{ij} is the number of IP links between i and j . These metrics are useful in understanding the city functionalities in such a global system, as high recursive centrality is related to hub urban roles while, high recursive power reflects gateway roles (see the discussion in Neal 2011). Thus, Beijing's high performance in both metrics indicates the Chinese capital's importance in the global digital network as Beijing performs both hub and gateway roles. However, this is not the case for Shanghai, whose' functionality is lower than the expected one according to accumulated IP infrastructure, as is reflected in the degree centrality.

Table 3: World-cities centralities and power in 2010

⁶ This is a 'weighted' degree centrality measure in the sense that if two regions i and j are connected by multiple links, all of these links will be added in the degree centrality of i and j . If it had been a 'binary' centrality measure, then the multiplicity of the links between i and j would have been neglected.

Cities	Degree centrality		Recursive centrality		Recursive power	
	score	rank	score	rank	score	rank
London	100.00	1	100.00	1	95.39	2
Seoul	94.22	2	20.57	6	25.36	13
Beijing	75.77	3	36.70	3	48.63	6
New York	47.19	4	66.52	2	21.84	16
Frankfurt	46.64	5	34.71	4	31.61	10
Tokyo	41.95	6	11.23	13	29.95	12
Amsterdam	33.72	7	14.80	10	76.68	3
Madrid	29.67	8	9.96	14	100.00	1
Moscow	26.83	9	12.64	12	54.97	5
Toronto	22.28	10	14.27	11	22.13	14
Paris	21.95	11	22.56	5	33.32	9
Singapore	19.57	12	6.74	16	6.37	23
Chicago	17.73	13	18.68	7	13.88	18
Los Angeles	13.91	14	17.36	8	6.33	24
Shanghai	13.23	15	2.92	21	7.87	22
Sydney	13.05	16	0.57	26	42.22	7
San Francisco	12.10	17	15.77	9	9.28	21
Vienna	11.10	18	0.60	25	38.25	8
Milan	11.07	19	7.84	15	30.38	11
Taipei	9.53	20	4.45	19	9.58	20
Zurich	5.93	21	0.44	27	21.89	15
Osaka	5.56	22	1.18	24	2.88	29
Cairo	5.32	23	0.42	28	4.98	25
Brussels	5.28	24	2.62	22	72.07	4
Sao Paulo	4.69	25	0.40	29	2.54	30
Kuala Lumpur	4.57	26	0.16	33	10.87	19
Bangkok	4.44	27	2.61	23	15.72	17
Vancouver	3.94	28	5.42	17	0.55	32
Boston	3.11	29	3.80	20	3.25	28
Copenhagen	2.94	30	4.67	18	3.77	27
Berlin	2.78	31	0.28	31	4.27	26
Geneva	1.70	32	0.18	32	2.05	31
Fukuoka	1.22	33	0.31	30	0.49	33
Hong Kong	0.02	34	0.05	34	0.00	34

Note: centrality measures are normalized with maximum value = 100

After highlighting Beijing's role as the main anchor point of the Chinese IP network with the global one, our focus will next turn to the Chinese intra-urban digital network. Table 4 presents some basic network statistics for the Chinese digital infrastructure for the period 2007-2011. The size of the network during the first two years of the study period is less than thirty percent of the network size

during the last three years. Although this might reflect, to some extent, the Internet growth in China, much of this is mostly related to the data collection process and the increase of the DIMES project agents. Nonetheless, a change in the topology of the network can be observed. The first statistic under study is again degree centrality⁷. The large difference between the average and maximum values reflect the existence of some very well connected nodes, which perform hub roles in the network. Regarding the change over time, while the average weighted degree centrality among the connected cities increased almost four times during the study period, the maximum degree centrality increased more than twenty times. This is a first indication of the existence of a *cumulative causation* process, according to which the higher the degree of a node is, the higher the probability of a new link to be attached to this node is. This *rich get richer* phenomenon results to high inequality in terms of connectivity among the Chinese cities, which increases over time according to the Gini coefficient of the degree centrality. In the network literature (Barabasi 2003), this cumulative process is identified as preferential attachment (Batty 2012) and it will be further analyzed below.

Table 4: Network statistics for China's IP network

Net. Statistics	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Nodes	219	224	781	780	784
Links	420	432	1557	1606	1638
Av. degree centrality	56.555	90.951	144.095	257.805	249.704
Max. degree centrality	2830	4671	32660	57889	59490
Density	0.017	0.017	0.005	0.005	0.005
Av. network distance	2.515	2.71	2.944	2.884	2.825
<i>RN av. network distance</i>	<i>4.078</i>	<i>4.082</i>	<i>4.801</i>	<i>4.821</i>	<i>4.818</i>
Clustering coefficient	0.462	0.351	0.364	0.393	0.408
<i>RN clustering coefficient</i>	<i>0.012</i>	<i>0.011</i>	<i>0.006</i>	<i>0.004</i>	<i>0.004</i>
Gini coefficient	83.77	89.06	93.4	93.71	93.83

Note: RN is a random network with the same number of nodes and links

The outcome of the uneven distribution of the IP links among the Chinese cities is an *efficient* digital network. Indeed, despite the very low density of the CP, which decreases over time, the average network distance is exceptionally short. In the CNA framework, distance does not refer to Euclidean distance, but to the number of nodes that separate any two nodes⁸. For the case of the Chinese digital infrastructure, any two cities are separated on average by two intermediate nodes, which results in a

⁷ Just as before, this is a weighted degree centrality measure.

⁸ Because there are usually numerous different ways to connect any two given nodes (known as *walks*), research commonly focuses on the shortest path, known as distance (Nooy, Mrvar, and Batagelj 2005).

network distance less than 3. The latter is an indication of efficiency, as it reflects the ability of the network to transfer data flows with minimal routing.

The above qualities and the efficiency of the network can be attributed to the *small world* (SW) characteristics of the CP. The latter refers to a widely used network model, whose main characteristic is the existence of highly-connected cliques⁹, which gain global connectivity via a few links that span the entire network, linking distant clusters (Watts and Strogatz 1998). This theoretical network model became popular because of its many real-world applications. The digital infrastructure in China resembles SW networks because of the short average distance – shorter than the ones observed in same size random networks (RN)¹⁰ – and the high clustering coefficient¹¹ – higher than the ones discovered in same size RN.

Apart from the latter, an essential element of the SW networks is the distribution of nodes' degree centrality, which distinguishes this network type from another well established network model known as *scale free* (SF). SF networks share the above characteristics with SW networks, but the degree distribution of their nodes follows a power law, contrary to the exponential functions which distinguish SW networks. The different distributions reflect the difference between these two types of networks in terms of the nodes' heterogeneity: while the power-law degree distribution of the SF networks reflects the existence of a very few super-connected hubs and a vast majority of less-connected vertices (Barabási and Albert 1999), the exponential-degree distribution of SW networks resembles highly-connected cliques and less heterogeneous nodes. Following Newman (2005), the estimation of the degree distribution curve is based on the *cumulative degree function* (CDF) derived from an inverse rank-plot graph. The CDFs for the period 2007-2011 are presented in Figure 1.

⁹ A clique is a “sub-set of a network in which the actors are more closely and intensely tied to one another than they are to other members of the network” (Hanneman and Riddle 2005).

¹⁰ RN were introduced by two Hungarian mathematicians Paul Erdős and Alfréd Rényi and refer to large scale networks with no obvious structure (Erdős and Rényi 1959). The distribution of vertices degree follows a Poisson distribution, which means that the majority of the vertices on the network have the same number of links and they are found nearby the average degree $\langle k \rangle$; vertices that deviate from this are rare.

¹¹ The clustering coefficient C_i of node i is the ratio between the number of edges E_i that exist among its nearest neighbours (nodes which are directly connected with node i) and the maximum number of these edges, where k_i is the number of nodes in clique: (Latora and Marchiori 2001).




Figure 1: Cumulative degree distribution of Chinese cities based on IP links

The scatter plot reveals a common pattern throughout the study period: the degree distribution for every year is governed by a power function as indicated by the straight lines. The above visual observation is also supported statistically by curve estimations based on OLS and the relevant log-log transformations (Faloutsos et al. 1999; Gorman and Kulkarni 2004; Tranos 2011). The results of the OLS are presented in Table 5, where two different forms are tested: exponential and power specification, respectively:

$$p(x) \propto e^{-ax}, \quad (1)$$

$$p(x) \propto x^{-a}, \quad (2)$$

Table 5: Degree distribution fit

Year	Exponential		Power		N
	R-squared	Coef.	R-squared	Coef.	
2007	0.314	-0.003	0.956	-0.629	218
2008	0.328	-0.002	0.965	-0.531	220
2009	0.161	-0.0003	0.974	-0.520	781
2010	0.158	-0.0002	0.967	-0.504	780
2011	0.150	-0.0002	0.970	-0.500	783

Note: OLS and the relevant transformations have been used to explore the fitness of different functions

Indeed, the OLS results confirm the visual observation that power functions better fit the overall distribution for the five year study period. In spatial terms, this can be interpreted as an agglomeration effect of the digital connectivity in a limited number of cities which act as hubs for the Internet infrastructure in China. Thus, the Chinese digital urban network is a highly hierarchical one and just like any other SF network, is highly dependent on these hubs, which hold the network together by performing vital routing functions.

Nonetheless, this is not the case with the European part of the digital infrastructure. As discussed elsewhere (Tranos and Nijkamp 2013) the European subset of the global IP network, as captured by the DIMES agents, fails to form a clear power law. On the contrary, CDF for Europe is ruled by a *power law with a cutoff*, which means that the power function does not fit the overall distribution, but only the most-connected nodes (Figure 2). In spatial terms, this reflects the existence of two parallel phenomena: one the one hand, an agglomeration effect of IP connectivity in a limited number of regions which act as hubs; on the other hand, the exponential tail reflects the existence of a cluster of less-connected regions, which is more homogeneous in terms of IP connectivity than if a hierarchical and clear SF topology were present.




Figure 2: Cumulative degree distribution of NUTS-3 in Europe regions based on IP links
Source: (Tranos and Nijkamp 2013)

structural differences between the digital network in China and Europe reflect, to a certain extent, spatial configuration differences. Various mechanisms, which may relate to national policies and borders, prevent the formation of a network with a power law degree distribution in Europe. Owing to these policies, the least connected European cities enjoy a level of connectivity higher than the equivalent of a SF network. However, the absence of multiple national policies and the centralized infrastructure planning and building in China resulted in a SF network, which is ruled from only a handful

of hubs: almost 50 per cent of IP connectivity in China is accumulated in only three cities in 2011, Beijing, Shanghai and Guangzhou. On the contrary, the three most connected cities in Europe are responsible for only 8.5 per cent of European cities total connectivity.

Although the above analysis provides interesting insights into the nature of this infrastructural system, it does not provide insight into the mechanisms behind the creation of these links. Moving a step forward, the next section will explore the mechanisms behind the formation of this complex network in China.

5. The determinants of digital connectivity within Chinese urban system

After gaining a structural understanding of the IP network in China, this section aims to shed more light on spatial factors affecting the structure and the evolution of the Internet infrastructure in China. Using model (1) as the starting point, the effect of a set of explanatory variables, which reflect space and time dimensions of the digital infrastructure network, is tested in this section. Going a step further, the modeling results are juxtaposed with corresponding results from Europe. This comparison will increase the robustness of our analysis and enable potential comparisons.

More specifically, model (1) can be further expanded in the following log-log form:

$$\ln(IP_{ijt}) = a_0 \ln k + a_1 \ln(\text{distance}_{ij}) + a_2 \text{external}_{ijt} + a_3 \text{region}_{ij} + a_4 \text{periods}_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ijt} \quad (3)$$

The dependent variable (IP_{ijt}) represents the connectivity between any two connected cities (i and j) in China in year t . The temporal dimension represents the five years study period (2007-2011). Building upon the results of the global analysis, we expect that physical distance (distance) between i and j will have a negative impact on the installed infrastructure between i and j . Then, a number of other structural explanatory variables is tested here. Based on the above discussion about the importance of international digital connections, it is expected that the IP connectivity between two cities (i and j) will be positively affected, if both i and j have international gateway roles. To test this effect we introduce here a dummy variable (external) which is equal to 1 when both i and j have international IP links during year t . Then, we test the impact of spatial structure and the importance of provinces in the formation of the Chinese digital infrastructure. Thus, another variable is introduced to test the impact of intra-province links: variable region is equal to 1 when both i and j are located in the same province in China. Finally, the effect of the stability of the connectivity over time is also tested here. Although IP networks are physical networks, re-wiring is a possible within such networks in order for the supply to meet demand (Gorman and Kulkarni 2004). In order to test this attribute, the effect of variable periods , which indicates the number of years that a link between cities i and j was present during the study period, is tested.

In order to take advantage of the bi-dimensional data on digital connectivity (IP links between i and j at year t) panel data specifications are adopted for the estimation of (3). Panel data models improve the researchers' ability to control for missing or unobserved variables (Hsiao 2003). Such an

omitted-variable bias as a result of unobserved heterogeneity is a common problem in cross-section models.

While panel data introduces considerable gains, there are also methodological limitations to be addressed. For instance, two are the main options for estimating panel regressions: *fixed effects* (FE) and *random effects* (RE) models (Wooldridge 2003). The RE model would have been the preferred choice here because the first differentiation process of the within estimator (FE) would have resulted in the elimination of the time-invariant variables (*distance*, *region* and *periods*) (e.g. Brun et al. 2005; Etzo 2011). However, the efficiency of the RE model goes hand in hand with other limitations: the consistency of RE estimators depends on whether the unobserved random effects are uncorrelated with the regressors. For instance, some of the explanatory variables might be endogenous by being correlated with omitted variables which affect the installation of IP links between cities (Baier and Bergstrand 2001). If this is the case, an instrumentation of the endogenous variables would be necessary in order to obtain unbiased estimators. However, such an instrumentation is not an easy task given the complexity of the CP and the lack of prior empirical research in this area. Therefore, a two-way fixed-effects estimation is introduced here (Tranos and Nijkamp 2013). This specification is differentiated by the usual FE because it addresses unobserved effects at two dimensions (Baltagi 1995). Thus, the error term ε_{ijt} from (3) can be analyzed as following: $\varepsilon_{ijt} = \omega_{it} + \zeta_{jt} + v_{ij}$. In this case, ω_{it} and ζ_{jt} are the i and j as well as time-specific effects and v_{ij} the remainder stochastic disturbance term. Thus, the two-way FE will address potential i and j time-specific effects (i.e. the time variant city level effects which are not observed and are not of our interest here) and enable the estimation of the structural effects (*distance*, *external*, *region*, *periods*).

Table 6: Determinants of digital connectivity within Chinese urban system

Dep. Var. IP (ln)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
IP (ln, 1 year lag)				0.373 (1.95)*
distance (ln)	-0.056 (1.67)*	-0.208 (4.42)***	-0.216 (3.39)***	-10.075 (2.09)**
external	1.026 (17.83)***	0.241 (2.91)***	0.228 (0.77)	-4.021 (1.61)
region	0.305 (3.31)***	0.794 (5.83)***	0.851 (4.56)***	-40.843 (1.99)**
periods	0.454 (19.12)***	0.501 (15.68)***	0.656 (13.72)***	2.286 (2.64)***
time effects	yes	yes		yes
i,j effects		yes		
ij effects				
it and jt effects			yes	
constant	-0.324	1.752	1.844	70.298

	(1.28)	(0.77)	(0.43)	(2.04)**
Hansen				2.15
Diff. Hansen				2.14
AR(2)				-1.16
R^2	0.23	0.60	0.71	
N	3,281	3,281	3,281	1,810

* $p < 0.1$; ** $p < 0.05$; *** $p < 0.01$; Standard Error in parentheses

In columns (1)-(3) the results of OLS estimations with various effects are presented. Column (4) presents the two-step robust system GMM estimation. *Hansen* is the Hansen test for overidentification restriction; *Diff. Hansen* is the Difference-in-hansen test of exogeneity for the validity of additional moment conditions; and *AR(2)* is the Arellano-Bond test for serial correlation.

Columns 1-3 in Table 6 present the estimation of (3) using different effects. The results are consistent and some interesting conclusions can be drawn. First of all, a distance decays effect is present in the distribution of the Internet infrastructure across the Chinese urban system. The impact of distance increases with the use of specific effects, and especially when the two-way effects are introduced. This is important, as the latter specification appears to be the most robust due to the lack of unobserved effects. Then, the importance of these Chinese cities, which act as gateways with the rest of the world, in attracting intra-China connectivity is reflected in variable *external*. Indeed, when both connected cities share IP links not only with cities in China, but also with cities abroad, then the installed digital infrastructure between this pair of cities is expected to be higher. The positive sign of this variable remains unchanged across different specifications. However, the effect of this variable stops being significant when the two-way fixed effects are introduced. This is not surprising as the two-way effect probably mask the impact of the links between gateway cities because the gateway roles vary both among time and space. Another important structural factor for the development of the Chinese IP network is regional connectivity as the location of two connected cities within the same province has a positive impact on installed connectivity between them. Finally, an indirect assessment of the above discussed *cumulative causation* process is achieved with the use of the variable *periods*. The consistent positive effect indicates that the number of years a pair of cities remains connected during the study period is positively related with the amount of installed infrastructure among these cities. In other words, early, and consequently lengthier, participation of a city-pair in the Chinese IP network has a positive impact on the connectivity between these two cities.

A more direct estimation of the cumulative causation process or, in network terms, of the preferential attachment, can be made with the introduction of a dynamic framework. Therefore, model (3) is expanded to the following form:

$$\ln(IP_{ijt}) = a_0 \ln k + \gamma_1 \ln(IP_{ijt-1}) + a_1 \ln(\text{distance}_{ij}) + a_2 \text{external}_{ijt} + a_3 \text{region}_{ij} + a_4 \text{periods}_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ijt} \quad (4)$$

The main difference with (3) is the inclusion of the autoregressive term (IP_{ijt-1}). This creates estimation complications, as OLS and conventional fixed and random effects estimators result in biased and inconsistent estimates because of the correlation between the autoregressive term and the error term. To overcome this, the generalized method of moments (GMM) technique is introduced here. The

latter approach refers to Arellano and Bond's (1991) suggestion of using first differencing for eliminating individual effects and then using all possible lags of dependent and independent variables as instruments for the endogenous variables (in our case only the lags of the dependent variable). Later on, Arellano and Bover (1995) and Blundell and Bond (1998) suggested first differencing not on the regressors, but rather on the instruments, a choice which results in increased efficiency (Roodman 2006). The latter approach, known as *system GMM*, is used here.

Column (4) in Table 6 presents the estimation of model (4). The main finding is that there is indeed a preferential attachment process in the evolution of the Chinese inter-city IP network, as the lagged value of the IP connectivity between two cities has a positive impact on the installed IP infrastructure between these two cities. Another important finding is that despite the inclusion of the autoregressive term, the distance decay effect is still present. The same applies to the indirect measure of the cumulative causation. The magnitude of these two effects is much higher than those in the static models. Nevertheless, the consistency in qualitative terms signifies their importance as structural elements of the Internet infrastructure across the Chinese cities. This is not the case for the effect of the variables *external* and *region*. While the former stops being significant, the latter has a negative and significant effect, which does not agree with the static models.

A crucial point in the above process is the validity of the instruments adopted for the GMM estimations. Three tests have been performed here (Jiwattanakulpaisarn et al. 2009). Firstly, orthogonality conditions of the instruments are tested using the Hansen test for overidentifying restrictions. Then, the validity of additional moment conditions in levels is tested with the Difference-in-Hansen test of exogeneity. Finally, the Arellano-Bond test for serial correlation is reported, the null hypothesis of which (no second-order autocorrelation in differenced residuals) verifies the validity of two or more order lagged variables as instruments. All of the reported tests support the validity of the instruments used in the system GMM estimation.

In total, spatial forces affect the structure of the digital infrastructure network. Physical distance and localization effects are valid for the case of China. What is more, the dynamic panel analysis confirms the preferential attachment or, in other words, the cumulative process in the IP distribution. Finally, the effect for the links between gateway cities reflects the structure of the Chinese digital network as only 10 per cent of the digitally connected cities (110 cities in 2011) share links with cities outside China. Apparently, these cities act as the main hubs of the Chinese Internet and are responsible for the SF nature of this network. The positive concentration of IP links between such nodal cities reflect the importance of these cities in holding the Chinese Internet together (see Doyle et al. 2005).

6. Conclusions

The combination of network analysis with econometrics resulted in some fruitful outcomes regarding the understanding of the symbiotic relation between urban and digital networks both globally and in China. In addition to persistent urbanization trends, the urbanized world will also be a connected

world, in which next to physical infrastructure also the digital infrastructure (in particular, the Internet) will play a central role.

Despite the scale of the analysis, similar spatial forces have been identified as drivers of the digital infrastructural network. Physical distance, accessibility and localization effects are important factors behind digital connectivity. Thus, it is fair to say that the 'death of distance' discussion is not valid in the frame of the Internet infrastructure. Moreover, factors related with knowledge economy and city size are also important factors behind the allocation of the Internet's physical layer.

In addition, it became apparent that digital infrastructure reflects specific attributes of urban systems. While the rather polycentric European urban system is facilitated by a digital network which resembles small worlds, the Chinese digital network follows a heterogeneous scale free topology, with only a handful of super-connected cities. Thus, it can be argued that the highly centralized digital network, which underpins the Chinese Internet, reflects the centralized and regulative planning system in China.

Clearly, the sample of world cities used in our investigation could be extended. And it would certainly be relevant to test the robustness of our findings by examining other – and perhaps more extensive – data bases. A potentially useful area for further research is the utilization of data regarding digital flows instead of digital capacity. Online social media could be great candidates for data extraction. However, such data is difficult to be extracted for issues related with privacy and business strategies. Nonetheless, we would not expect that the results of such an analysis would be much differentiated by our present results.

Finally, global connectivity among cities presupposes a world without strict borders. Open access is an important condition for a globally linked world, and communication policies should do their utmost to create effective legal frameworks for ensuring open access conditions in a digital world.

References

- ARELLANO, M., BOND, S. R. (1991) Some tests of specification for panel data: Monte carlo evidence and an application to employment equations. *Review of Economic Studies*, 58: 277–297
- ARELLANO, M., BOVER, O. (1995) Another look at the instrumental variables estimation of error-components models. *Journal of Econometrics*, 68: 19-51
- BAIER, S. L., BERGSTRAND, J. H. (2001) The growth of world trade: Tariffs, transport costs, and income similarity. *Journal of International Economics*, 53: 1-27
- BALTAGI, B. H. (1995) *Econometric analysis of panel data*. Chichester, John Wiley & Sons
- BARABÁSI, A.-L. (2002) *Linked: The new science of networks*. Cambridge, MA, Perseus
- BARABÁSI, A.-L., ALBERT, R. (1999) Emergence of scaling in random networks. *SCIENCE*, 286: 509-512
- BATTY, M. (2012) Building a science of cities. *Cities*, 29: S9-S16
- BATTY, M., LONGLEY, P. (1994) *Fractal cities: A geometry of form and function*. New York, MIT Press
- BLUNDELL, R., BOND, S. (1998) Initial conditions and moment restrictions in dynamic panel data models. *Journal of Econometrics*, 87

- BRUN, J.-F., CARRERE, C., GUILLAUMONT, P., MELO, J. D. (2005) Has distance died? Evidence from a panel gravity model. *The World Bank Economic Review*, 19: 99-120
- BUCHANAN, M. (2002) *Nexus: Small worlds and the groundbreaking science of networks*. New York, W. W. Norton
- CAIRNCROSS, F. (2001) *The death of distance 2.0*. London, Texere Publishing Limited
- CARMI, S., HAVLIN, S., KIRKPATRICK, S., SHAVITT, Y., SHIR, E. (2007) A model of internet topology using k-shell decomposition. *PNAS*, 104: 11150-11154
- CASTELLS, M. (1996) *The rise of the network society*. Oxford, Blackwell
- CHOI, J. H., BARNETT, G. A., CHON, B.-S. (2006) Comparing world city networks: A network analysis of internet backbone and air transport intercity linkages. *Global Networks*, 6: 81-99
- COHEN, G., NIJKAMP, P. (2006) E-governance and cyber images of cities. In: JOHANSSON, I. (ed) *Entrepreneurship and development – local processes and global patterns*. University West, Uddevalla
- COHEN, G., SALOMON, I., NIJKAMP, P. (2002) Information-communications technologies (ict) and transport: Does knowledge underpin policy? *Telecommunication Policy*, 26: 31-52
- DÉMURGER, S. (2001) Infrastructure development and economic growth: An explanation for regional disparities in china? *Journal of Comparative Economics*, 29: 95-117
- DIMES (2010) Dimes project. Tel Aviv
- DING, L., HAYNES, K. E. (2006) The role of telecommunications infrastructure in regional economic growth in china. *Australasian Journal of Regional Studies*, 12: 281-302
- DING, L., HAYNES, K. E., LIU, Y. (2008) Telecommunications infrastructure and regional income convergence in china: Panel data approaches. *Annals of Regional Science*, 42: 843-861
- DODGE, M., ZOOK, M. A. (2009) Internet based measurement. In: KITCHIN, R., THRIFT, N. (eds) *The international encyclopedia of human geography*. Elsevier,
- DOYLE, J. C., ALDERSON, D. L., LI, L., LOW, S., ROUGHAN, M., SHALUNOV, S., TANAKA, R., WILLINGER, W. (2005) The “robust yet fragile” nature of the internet. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science of the United States of America*, 102: 14497-502
- ERDÖS, P., RÉNYI, A. (1959) On random graphs. *Publicationes Mathematicae Debrecen*, 6: 290-97
- ETZO, I. (2011) The determinants of the recent interregional migration flows in Italy: A panel data analysis. *Journal of Regional Science*, forthcoming
- FALOUTSOS, M., FALOUTSOS, P., FALOUTSOS, C. (1999) On power-law relationships of the internet topology. *Computer Communication Review*, 29: 251-262
- GORMAN, S. P., KULKARNI, R. (2004) Spatial small worlds: New geographic patterns for an information economy. *Environment and Planning B*, 31: 273-296
- GORMAN, S. P., MALECKI, E. J. (2002) Fixed and fluid: Stability and change in the geography of the internet. *Telecommunications Policy*, 26: 389-413
- HSIAO, C. (2003) *Analysis of panel data*. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press
- INSTITUTE FOR URBAN STRATEGIES (2010) Global power city index. The Mori Memorial Foundation, Tokyo
- JACOBS, J. (1961) *The death and life of great american cities*. New York, Vintage
- JIWATTANAKULPAISARN, P., NOLAND, R. B., GRAHAM, D. J., POLAK, J. W. (2009) Highway infrastructure and state-level employment: A causal spatial analysis. *Papers in Regional Science*, 88: 133-159
- KUSAKABE, K. (2012) *Gender, roads and mobility in Asia*. Bourton on Dunsmore, Practical Action Publishing
- MALECKI, E. J. (2002) The economic geography of the internet's infrastructure. *Economic Geography*, 78: 399-424
- MAYER, T., ZIGNAGO, S. (2005) Market access in global and regional trade. *CEPII*, Working Paper 2
- MEGA, V. (2010) Future belongs to cities. *Regional Symbiosis*, 18: 1-13

- MORICHI, S., RAJ ACHARYA, S. (2013) *Transport developments in asian megacities*. Heidelberg, Springer
- MULLIGAN, G., CRAMPTON, J. P. (2005) Population growth in the world's largest cities. *Cities*, 22: 365-380
- NEAL, Z. (2011) Differentiating centrality and power in the world city network. *Urban Studies*, 48: 2733-2748
- NEAL, Z. (2012) *The connected city: How networks are shaping the modern metropolis*. New York, Routledge
- NEWMAN, M. E. J. (2003) The structure and function of complex networks. *SIAM Review*, 45: 167-256
- NEWMAN, M. E. J. (2005) Power laws, pareto distributions and zipf's law. *arXiv:cond-mat/0412004v3*,
- NIJKAMP, P. (2008) Xxq factor for sustainable urban development: A systems economic view. *The Journal of the Romanian Regional Science Association*, 2: 1-34
- NIJKAMP, P., KOURTIT, K. (2012) The new urban europe: Global challenges and local responses in the urban century. *European Planning Studies*, forthcoming
- ROODMAN, D. (2006) How to do xtabond2: An introduction to 'difference' and 'system' gmm in stata. *Center for Global Development*, Working Paper No. 103
- SHAVITT, Y., SHIR, E. (2005) Dimes: Let the internet measure itself. *ACM SIGCOMM Computer Communication Review*, 35: 71-74
- TASSEY, G. (1992) *Technology infrastructure and competitive position*. Boston, MA, Kluwer Academic Press
- TASSEY, G. (2008) Modeling and measuring the economic roles of the technology infrastructure. *Economics of Innovation and New Technology*, 17: 615-29
- TAYLOR, P. J. (2001) Specification of the world city network. *Geographical Analysis*, 33: 181-94
- TAYLOR, P. J. (2004) *World city network*. London and New York, Routledge
- TAYLOR, P. J., CATALANO, G., WALKER, D. R. F. (2002) Measurement of the world city network. *Urban Studies*, 39: 2367-2376
- TRANOS, E. (2011) The topology and the emerging urban geographies of the internet backbone and aviation networks in europe: A comparative study *Environment and Planning A*, 43: 378-392
- TRANOS, E. (2013) *The geography of the internet: Cities, regions and the internet infrastructure in europe*. Cheltenham, UK, Edward Elgar
- TRANOS, E., GILLESPIE, A. (2009) The spatial distribution of internet backbone networks in europe: A metropolitan knowledge economy perspective. *European Urban and Regional Studies*, 16: 423-37
- TRANOS, E., GILLESPIE, A. (2011) The urban geography of internet backbone networks in europe: Roles and relations. *Journal of Urban Technology*, 18: 35- 49
- TRANOS, E., NIJKAMP, P. (2013) The death of distance revisited: Cyber-place, physical and relational proximities. *Journal of Regional Science*, forthcoming
- UN (2010) *World urbanization prospects*. New York, United Nations
- WANG, Y., LAI, P., SUI, D. (2003) Mapping the internet using gis: The death of distance hypothesis revisited. *Journal of Geographical Systems*, 5: 381-405
- WATTS, D. J. (2003) *Six degrees: The science of a connected age*. New York, W. W. Norton & Company
- WATTS, D. J. (2004) The 'new' science of networks. *Annual Review of Sociology* 30: 243-270
- WOOLDRIDGE, J. M. (2003) *Introductory econometrics: A modern approach*. Mason, Ohio, South-Western